UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/780,648	02/19/2004		Kelly Libby	2331-001	2184		
27522 SEAN W. GO	7590	03/22/2007		EXAMINER			
222 PARKSIE		LUKS, JEREMY AUSTIN					
602-12 AVENUE S.W. CALGARY, AB T2R 1J3				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CANADA	1211103			2837			
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVER I MODE		
				03/22/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		<b>E</b> //
Application No.	Applicant(s)	. /.
10/780,648	LIBBY, KELLY	•
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeremy Luks	2837	

	Jeremy Luks	2837	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply i	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T 06.07(f).	ing date of the final rejecti HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see Now);	OTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejecteu claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	•		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	vided below or appended.	MIII be entered and an 6	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 2 0</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	8 h	
13.  Other:		COMMONOVAN	INER
	SUPERVIS	OF	
		\ <i>/</i>	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner has not found Applicant's arguments persuasive. The prior art combination is proper and sufficient motivation has been provided by the Examiner in the Final Office Action mailed 12/11/06. The Examiner also notes that Applicant's arguments are largley based on the functionality of the devices and not the structure. Applicant is remined that the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647 (1987).

SUPERVISORY PATTENT & AMINER